INTERMOUNTAIN POWER SERVICE CORPORATION

April 4, 2001

Richard Sprott, Director Division of Air Quality Department of Environmental Quality P.O. Box 144820 Salt Lake City, UT 84114-4820

Dear Director Sprott,

NOTICE OF INTENT: Modification of Source

Intermountain Power Service Corporation (IPSC) is hereby submitting a Notice of Intent (NOI) to increase generating capacity at the Intermountain Generating Station (IGS) in Delta. The IGS is a coal fired steam-electric plant located in Millard County, a NAAQS Attainment Area. Specifically, IPSC intends to construct modifications to Units One and Two at IGS to enhance performance and reliability and to allow increased capacity by de-bottlenecking certain aspects of our operation. This NOI requests an approval order to construct and a revision to IPSC's Title V permit to incorporate these modifications.

As required by UAC R307-401-2, the following information is provided:

(1) PROCESS DESCRIPTION: IGS is a fossil-fuel fired steamelectric generating station that primarily uses coal as fuel for the production of steam to generate electricity (SIC Code 4911). Both bituminous and subbituminous coals are utilized. Fuel oil and used oil are also combusted for light off and energy recovery.

IGS is a two unit facility operating at a rated capacity of 875 megawatts (MW) per unit (gross). Approximately 5.3 million tons of coal and 600,000 gallons of oil (including used oil) are used each year in the production of electricity. Boiler capacity is rated at 6.2 million pounds per hour of steam flow at 2822 psi.

IGS has in place bulk handling equipment for the unloading, transfer, storage, preparation, and delivery of solid and liquid fuel to the boilers. No changes of this equipment are proposed. No changes in the usage of other raw materials or bulk chemicals are planned.

850 West Brush Wellman Road, Delta, Utah 84624 / Telephone: (801) 864-4414 / FAX; (801) 864-4970

Mr. Richard Sprott Page 2 April 4, 2001

PROPOSED CHANGES: IPSC is planning to enhance steam flow characteristics through the high pressure (HP) section of each turbine used to generate electricity. This involves the replacement of the HP section with a modified design that improves performance and reliability. This modification in and of itself will not increase plant capacity, but will instead lower emissions due to decreased fuel use from the resulting increased performance.

Combined improvements to other areas of the plant will increase plant generating capacity. These modifications consist of "de-bottlenecking" critical points that presently prevent the full utilization of present equipment. Other changes are needed for reliability, performance and/or routine maintenance purposes. See Item 8 for details.

- characteristics of the emissions are expected to change as a result of the proposed modifications as indicated in the attached spreadsheet (Attachment 1), which shows the anticipated changes in emission rates, temperature, air contaminant types, and concentration of air contaminants. The mass flow of chimney effluent may change proportionately with the fuel usage and combustion at a heat input comparable to the current heat input. The existing pollution control devices include low-NOx burners, fabric filters and wet scrubbers.
 - (3) POLLUTION CONTROL DEVICE DESCRIPTION: The existing pollution control device equipment includes dual register low NOx burners, baghouse type fabric filters for particulate removal, and flue gas desulfurization scrubbers. The existing low NOx burners provide a nominal 60% reduction in potential combustion NOx formation, the baghouse filters operate at nominal 99.95% efficiency, and the wet scrubbers operate at nominal 90% efficiency. Control equipment for the handling and transfer of solid material include dust collection filters.

The project includes modifications to the flue gas flow through scrubber modules to enhance SO_2 and acid gas removal rates. Also, the project includes installation of moderately improved NOx controls, such as the replacement of the existing dual register low NOx burners with new technology staged combustion low NOx burners.

- (4) EMISSION POINT: The present emission point for the IGS boilers is a lined chimney that discharges at 712 feet above ground level (5386 feet above sea level). The chimney location is 39° 39' 39" longitude, 112° 34' 46" latitude (UTM 4374448 meters Northing, 364239 meters Easting.).
- (5) SAMPLING/MONITORING: Emissions from boiler combustion are continuously sampled and monitored at the chimney for nitrogen oxides, sulfur oxides, carbon dioxide, and volumetric flow. Opacity is measured at the fabric filter outlet. Other parameters recorded include heat input and production level (megawatt load). Monitoring will remain unchanged. Other emissions not directly monitored are calculated using engineering judgement, emission factors, and fuel analyses. The type and location of the monitors will not be changed.
- (6) OPERATING SCHEDULE: IGS operates 24 hours per day, seven days per week. This will not change as a result of the proposed modifications.
- (7) CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE: Construction of the modifications will be performed in a staged manner, generally following the attached schedule. (See Attachment 2.)
- (8) MODIFICATION SPECIFICATIONS: The changes covered by this NOI include:
 - High Pressure Turbine Retrofit:

The high pressure turbine on each unit at IGS is scheduled to be replaced with a current technology, high efficiency turbine. This unit will increase high pressure turbine efficiency from approximately 84% to over 92%. Additionally, the turbine will be sized to provide up to 8.6% additional output.

Cooling Tower Performance Upgrade:

The cooling towers on each unit at IGS are scheduled for performance enhancement modifications to increase heat rejection capacity. Also, cooling tower transformers feeding the cooling tower fan motors will be upgraded. A study will be performed to identify and resolve needed redundancy issues for operation at new output levels.

Boiler Safety Valve Additions:

Currently, a review is underway focusing on existing boiler safety valve capacity. Addition of one main steam safety valve on each unit is expected in order to address reliability concerns with the existing valves and to accommodate planned increase in generation capacity.

• Generator Cooling Enhancement:

An engineering evaluation is currently underway to identify any enhancements required on the generator in order to accommodate the planned 8.6% increase in generator output. The anticipated result of this evaluation is an upgrade to the current generator and stator cooling systems.

• Isophase Bus Cooling Enhancement:

An engineering evaluation is currently underway to identify any enhancements required on the 26kv generator electrical bus feeding the main step-up transformer. The anticipated result of this evaluation is an upgrade to the current isophase bus duct cooling systems.

• Large Motor Bus Loading Equalization:

An engineering evaluation is currently underway to equalize the loading between the large and small motor bus. Due to limited tap adjustment capability on the auxiliary transformers feeding these load centers, several motors must be moved from one supply to the other in order to maintain required motor terminal voltages as unit output is increased.

Boiler Feed Pump Performance Upgrade:

The boiler feed pump manufacturer has notified IPSC of several enhancements they now offer that address previous reliability concerns and allow for small increases in output. These include, improved bearing housings, flow path smoothing, and impeller clearance modifications. These modifications provide for increased pump output at acceptable reliability levels.

Main Step-up Transformer Cooling:

The step-up transformer cores currently run close to their nominal temperature ratings when ambient temperatures are high. Proposed modifications are directed at increasing the cooling system capacity for cooling the transformer oil, core, and housing.

• NOx Reduction Project:

Some moderate NOx control systems will be added or enhanced. Recent advances in the burner industry have resulted in published operational data with improved NOx removal efficiencies. Within this project, burners in Unit 1 may be replaced with latest technology LNBs. Following successful testing, Unit 2 burner replacements would follow in successive outage upgrades. Alternatively, we may look at other technologies, or a combination of commercially available control systems. The installation of moderate NOx controls is expected to prevent any significant net increases of NOx due to increased capacity.

Scrubber Wall Ring:

Scrubber wall ring technology has been developed and patented in recent years to address inefficient flow patterns that routinely develop within the absorber vessels. This ring would be installed within all twelve (12) scrubber absorber vessels to move flow back to the center of the vessel, providing more efficient SO₂ and acid gas scrubbing of the flue gas.

• Generator Stator Cooling Water Oxygen Monitoring System:

Given concerns in recent years regarding the long term integrity of the generator stator bars, an oxygen monitoring system, capable of early identification of stator bar degradation is essential. As load increases, stator bar temperature and cooling flow velocities are also expected to rise. This system will guard against unexpected degradation of the stator.

High Pressure Heater Drain Line Modifications:

An existing resonant vibration occurring in the high pressure heater drain line to the deaerator has become an increasing concern. The vibration appears to increase with load. An increase in unit output would require a modification to eliminate this concern.

Boiler Modifications:

A comprehensive study is currently underway with the manufacturer of the boilers (Babcock & Wilcox). This study has been designed to review all aspects of boiler operation at the new turbine output levels. This study includes evaluation of current technologies and operating practices for minimizing emissions. The study will provide recommendations for modifying the existing boilers for stable and efficient operation at the new higher rating.

Circulating Water Makeup Modifications:

Current circulating water makeup capacity is inadequate for increased unit production. A new design will support increased makeup requirements and return a degree of redundancy to the system, as originally designed.

Boiler and turbine control system logic software & controls:

Upgrade of the existing control system includes complete replacement of the plant information system, control system simulator, coordinated control system, turbine control systems, combustion control systems and the alarm indication system. The new control systems will eliminate parts availability and reliability issues as well as providing the increased control system capacity required for the projects associated with the increased unit output. Boiler and turbine operating parameters are controlled within closer tolerances, resulting in less upsets and better emission control.

The capital expenditures for these changes to both units is expected to be about \$35 million. More detailed engineering specifications and project descriptions can be provided as needed.

PRODUCTION SUMMARY: The proposed project will increase generation capacity from 875 to approximately 950 MWhe, with steam flow design increasing from 6.2 to 6.9 million pounds per hour. Design heat input will increase from 8,352 to 9,225 million BTU per hour, requiring an increase from 5.3 to 5.6 million tons of coal each year. See Attachment 1 for details.

Mr. Richard Sprott Page 7 April 4, 2001

• ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: IGS operates under a Title V permit (#2700010001). IPSC intends to continue to operate in full compliance with that permit and applicable requirements. No deviations from permit conditions are expected. IPSC requests that this NOI also be considered a request for revision of the Title V permit, and requests that the conditions of the approval order be incorporated into the Title V permit once the approval order is issued.

Operating Flexibility

IPSC reserves the right to cancel any and all planned modifications at any time. IPSC may only install the turbine dense packs, which by themselves would not require review as a major modification. We note that EPA has previously determined that enhancements like the Dense Pack project are not major modifications if there is no significant net increase in emissions. (See letter from Francis X. Lyons, Regional Administrator, EPA Region 5 to Henry Nickel of Hunton & Williams, dated 5/23/00.) If IPSC decides to install only the Dense Pack enhancements and certain upgrades for reliability, IPSC will provide the supporting information to show that there will be no significant net increase in emissions.

Phased Permitting

Due to the length and intermittent nature of the construction schedule for the proposed modifications, IPSC requests that the approval order contain terms that take into account the phases of installation. For example, due to lead times for engineering and budgeting, some portions of the project which affect capacity and/or emissions may be installed prior to upgrades in pollution control equipment. IPSC would be receptive to an approval order that includes interim emission limits for the period prior to project completion and final upgrades to control equipment.

Permit "Off Ramps"

Budgeting for the proposed project will be considered on a fiscal year-by-year basis. Although the current business climate for increased capacity is very favorable for this project, outlooks may change. Accordingly, IPSC proposes that the approval order contain conditions which provide that pollution control upgrades will be required only if those "debottlenecking" projects go forward which, if installed without controls, would increase the potential to emit enough to require major modification review. If IPSC decides not to complete certain portions of this project, the approval order should be structured so that IPSC is not forced to proceed with project completion.

Mr. Richard Sprott Page 8 April 4, 2001

NSPS/PSD Applicability

New Source Performance Standards (NSPS). The proposed modifications do not trigger NSPS applicability under 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Da. NSPS pollutants for this facility are NOx, SO2 and PM10. A modification is defined for NSPS purposes to include any change in operation of a source that increases the maximum hourly emissions of a Part 60 regulated pollutant above the maximum achievable rate during the previous five years. See 40 CFR 60.14(h).

Prevention of Significant Deterioration. Planned upgrades to pollution control equipment as part of this proposed modification will result in net emissions decrease for certain criteria pollutants as a result of the project. Other pollutants may have increases below PSD significant levels. Accordingly, this modification will not require a major modification review. is providing to the DAQ supporting calculations and operating data.

Should you require any additional information, please contact Mr. Dennis Killian, Superintendent of Technical Services, at (435) 864-4414, or dennis-k@ipsc.com.

In as much as this notice of intent also constitutes a request for revision of IPSC's Title V Operating Permit, I hereby certify that, based on information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, the statements and information in this document and the accompanying attachments are true, accurate, and complete.

Cordially,

S. Gale Chapman

S. Gale Chapman President, Chief Operations Officer, and Title V Responsible

Attachments: Excel Spreadsheets (Emissions)

Time Line Project Gantt Chart IPSC Check, \$1,200.00 NOI Fee

cc: Blaine Ipson, IPSC

Jerry Hintze, IPSC

Bruce Moore, LADWP CES

Mike Nosanov, LADWP

Krishna Nand, Parsons Engineering James Holtkamp, LLG&M

Reed Searle, IPA

Lynn Banks, IPSC James Nelson, IPSC Tim Conkin, LADWP CES

John Schumann, LADWP

IP10 004094

TALAMOTE ST					ATTA	ATTACHMENT 1: Worksheet A	rksheet A
NOI / PSD Calculations							
Operating & Production							
Parameter	Average Value UoM	Post-Change Value					
Rated Output	875 Mwhe	096					
Fuel Use (Coal)	5,264,292 tons/yr	5,578,473					
Plant Operating Time	16,386 Unit hours	s 16,386					
Heat Value	11,872 BTU/lb	11,872					
Heat Input (Actual)	7,628 MMBtu/hr	8,083					
(Heat Input (Design)	8,352 MMBtu/hr	9,225					
Heat Rate	9,564 Btu/KWhr	9,475					
Flow - Stack	125,000,000 scfh	133,000,000					
Emissions				PSD Significance	PSD Major	Difference	PSD
Parameter/Pollutant	2 Yr Average Value UoM	Post-Change Value	Change+/-	Levels	Trigger Value	(Trigger - Post)	Triggered ?
PSD							
S02	3586.31 Tons	3513.10	-73.21	40	3626.31	-113.21	z
SO2 % Removal	93.62 %	93.88					
NOx	25143.97 Tons	24346.10	-797.87	40	25183,97	18'188-	z
00	1317.06 Tons	1394.60	77.54	100	1417.06	-22.46	Z
PM10	273.77 Tons	283.51	9.75	15	288.77	-5.25	Z
Lead	0.087 Tons	0.123	0.036	009'0	289.0	199.0-	z
VOC	12.65 Tons	13.40	0.75	07			Z
Beryllium	0.0102 Tons	0.0014	-0.0088	0.0004	0.0106	-0.0092	z
Mercury	0.081 Tons	0.105	0.024	0.100	0.181	-0.076	z
Fluorides (HF)	9.70 Tons	10.16	0.46	3	12.70	-2.54	Z
Sulfuric Acid	4.06 Tons	4.05	-0.01	<i>L</i>	11.06	10.7-	z

OC WAS COUNTY OF THE PARTY OF T										ATTACHMENT 1: Worksheet	1: Worksheet B
- 8											
	1 2000		1								
	SOZ (Ions)	SO2 % Removal	Nox (lons)	Collons	PM10 (lons)	read (lbs)	VOC (lbs)	Beryllium (Ibs)	Mercury (lbs)	Fluorides (HF) (lbs)	(HF) (lbs) Suffuric Acid (lbs)
1996	3759	92.28			83			3.57			
1997	9016	92.05			108			4.17	203		
1998	4281	92.67			114			200			OVVO
1989	3696	93.57			249						VEC 8
2000	3474	93.67			539	191	25204	1 80			8015
5 Year Avg	4058	92.8			171						0000
Last 2 yr Avg	3896	93.6			274						8424
RIGGER: Average + Sig. Incr.	3626		25184	1417	289		ì			25394	1000
rojected Actuals:	3513	93.88	24346		284		26809	9 2.76	211		R108
	The state of the s										
										Maximum NOx	Maximum SO2
		Plant Operating	Bhufib	MBTU:hr	LBAMMEtu	Ibsthr	LB/MMBtu	lbs/hr		Emission Rate	Emission Rate
	Coal Usage (tons)	Hours	VH lea2	Avg Heat Inout	NOx Emission rate	NOx Emission Rate	SO2 Emission Rat	te SO2 Emission Rai	9	(Last 5 years)	(Last 5 vears)
1996	4310562	15359	11860	6657	0.39	2564	00	2 48	0	6045	1150
1997	5158867	16564	11789	7343	0.37	2738	00	9		4875	1333
1998	5278344	16683	11823	7481	0.41	3082	0.0	7	3	5334	1279
1999	5244793	16462	11858	7556	0.39	2938	00	44	0	5002	1456
2000	5283790	16309	11885	1701	0.42	3202	0.0	6	9	5441	1379
5 Year Avg	5055271	16275	11843	7348	0.39	2905	0.0	12	498 Max - Prev. 5 urs	6045	377
Last 2 Year Avg	5264292	16386	11872	7628	0.40	3070	00	43	438 Proposed Average		420
rojected Actuals:	5578473	16386	11843	8064	0.37	2912	00	8064 0.37 2972 0.05 4291	429 Proposed Max:		1384
PERATING CHANGES	Actual	Design						_			
	Max Heat Input	ا≷	Bluthri Fuel Use (coal, lons)	Heat Rate	Mibs/hr Steam	Mwhe	Stack Flow (soft)				
resent Operation	7628		5264291.5		6.1	ļ	1	9			
Proposed Operation	8083	9225	5.578.473	9475	6.6	656		200			

ASSUMPTIONS:

All increased foctoreases based on coal use only. Fuel oil & other bulk chemical chemical use not expected to change. Estimated 15% nominal reduction with new NOx confrois over oil.

Estimated 15% nominal reduction with new NOx confrois over oil.

Estimated 4% nominal reduction with new NOX confrois over oil.

Estimated 4% nominal removate efficiency improvement in scrubber efficiency.

VOCs calculated from HAPP sist.

Projected nominal ergability miprovement. 8 0%

Projected nominal ergability miprovement. 8 6%

Projected nominal ergability miprovement. 8 6%

Projected nominal ergability miprovement. 8 6%

Projected uncontrolled NOx increase: 5.9%

	roses securios.	Concentration	n Pollutant Emission						ALIACH	MENT 1: Wo	
POLLUTANT	ingsylperior and in	(ppm)	Factor (ibs/10^12 Stu)		ACGIH		(800000)	Apple Alley of the light	salajuril(286gBAII125)		Modelin
Transcription (Control of Control	5551		1, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5,	Release Rate	TLY	Linita	ETE	ETY	TSL	Difference	Review
Anitmony		3.1	0.92*(C/A*P					5 151			
Arsenic	Pica shi Hullian	12	3.1*(C/A*P	0.0002725		mg/m3	0.368	0.184		-0.1837275	
3anum		113	(0	0.001230335	0.01	mg/m3	0.123	0.00123	0.000111111	3,34976E-07	Y
3eryllium		0.38	1.2*(C/A*	0.010102368	0.000	mg/m3	0.400	0.000046	2 222225 26	0.00000000	-
Cadmium		0.66	3.3°(C/A*	1.22205E-05			0.123	0.000246 0.00123			
Chromium		24	3.7*(C/A*P	0.000887876		mg/m3		0.00123			
Cobalt	712	2.9	1.7*(C/A*P			mg/m3 mg/m3	0.123	0.00615	0.00055556	-0.003532486 -0.006851828	
Copper		7.8	(0			movino	0.366	0.00736	0.000000007	-0.000051628	ļ
ead		7.1	3.4°(C/A*P	0.000817929		ma/m3	0.368	0.0404	0.00400000	0.0404.004	
/langariese		9.9	3.8*(C/A*P	0.002259576		mg/m3		0.0368	0.001666667		
Aercury		0.061		0.003322407		mg/m3	0.368	0.0092		-0.033477593 -0.008224241	
lickel		4.7	4.4*(C/A*P	0.002975759		mg/m3		0.0368		-0.008224241	
elenium		2.4		-8.977E-05		mg/m3		0.0736		-0.038438129	-
anadium		5.6	(0	-0.044629974		ing/ina	0.300	0.0730	0.00000007	-0.07308977	
inc		7.4	. (0	0.000372181		-	-				
				0.000372101							
<u></u>				-							
cenaphthene			0.00000051	9.77863E-06	-	-					
censphthylene			2.5E-07 (4.79344E-06							
cetaldehyde@			0.00057 (0.010929053		ppm C	- 24	13.96267894	4 50 400000	-13.95174988	├
cetophenone@			0.0000157								
crolein@			0.00029 (0.000287607		ppm C		18.08392636 0.071078119		-18.08363877 -0.065517723	
nthracene			0.00000021(4.02649E-06		ppm C	0.31	0.071078119	U.UZZ9Z84Z5	-0.000001//23	
enzene@			3.8 (lbs/10^1	0.00171811		ppm	0.365	0.587821677	0.053244747	-0.586103567	
enzo(a)anthracene			8.0E-08 (1.5339E-06	1 0.5	i ppriii	U.300	J.JU/ 02 10//	J.WJZ44/1/	0.550103507	
anzo(a)pyrene	Tolonous		0.0018 (lbs/10^1	8.13841E-07	 	 				1	
inzo(b.j.k)Fluoranthene	H45000000000000000000000000000000000000	.	1.1E-07 (2.10912E-06	1						1
enzo(g,h,i)perylene			2.7E-08 (5.17692E-07	 	 				<u> </u>	\vdash
enzyl chloride@			0.0007 (0.013421843	1	ppm	0.368	1.90517137	0.17258987	-1.891749727	+
phenyl@	Tanana -	100	0.0000017 (3.25954E-05		ppm	0.368			-0.484144092	
s(2-ethylhexyl)phthalat	e (いたれた)の		9.000073 (0.001399686		1	500	2	-,5-25-488		1
romoform@		-1	0.000039 (0.000747777		ppm	0.368	1.902462168	0.172324472	-1.90171439	1
arbon disulfide@	500 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 () EF000.0	0.002492591		ppm	0.368			-11.45743379	
Chloroacetophenone@	<u> </u>		0.000007 (0.002492591		ppm	0.368				
Morobenzene@		1	9.000022 (0.000421823		ppm	0.368			-16.94112419	
nloroform@		_	0.000059 (0.001131253		bbm bbm	0.368			-17.96690147	
rysene			0.0000001 (1.91738E-06		ppm	0.368	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	#VALUE!	
шеле@			0.0000053 (1	0.000101621		ppm	0.368	90.44973415			1
anide			0.0025 (0.047934441	1 30	ppin	0.300	80.44873413	0.182810702	*50,***303233	+
4-Dinitrotoluene@			0.00000028 (1	5.36866E-06	 	 					\vdash
methyl sulfate@			0.000048 (1	0.000920341		ppm	0.369	0.189794683	0.017191547	-0.188874342	
hyl benzene@			0.000094 (8	0.001802335		ppm	0.368		14.4730743		
hyl chloride@		%	0.000042 (1	0.000805299		ppm		97.10985885			
hylene dichloride@ hylene dibromide@			0.00004 (F	0.000766951		ppm	0.368				
uoranthene		50 000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.0000012 (8	2 2000EE 0E		ppm	0.368	#VALUE!	1.348147521	- 14.0000201	1
uorene			0.00000071 (I	1 36134E-05		Ppin	9.500	# VALUE:			
ormaldehyde@	<u> </u>	80 000 0 V 0 V 66 000 00	9.1E-07 (I	1.74481E-05		 				-	+
exane@	Control of the Contro	-	3.0 (lbs/10^12	0.001356402		ppm	0.123	0.04532135	0.00409407	-0.043964947	
deno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene		C reconcesses on the	0.000067 (I	0.001284643		ppm	0.368				
phorone@		Accessors and a second	6.1E-08 (II	1.1696E-06		1	<u> </u>	1 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	50:1107000	0.10.00.10000	'
ethyl bromide@			0.00058 (I	0.01112079		ppm	0.31	8.761779141	2.826380368	-8.750658351	1
thyl chloride@			0.00018 (I	0.003067804		ppm	0.368				
Methyl chrysene		(C 000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.00053 (1	0.010183101		ppm	0.368				
thyl ethyl ketone@		er secretar in the Addresses	2.2E-08 (II	4.21823E-07		1	-		0.44	07.500 102.02	+
thyl hydrazine@	200.000.000.0000.0000000000000000000000		0 00039 (1	0.00343333		ppm	0.368	217 0372188	19.65916837	-217.029741	
thyl methacrylete@	\$65.7 p. 11 5.0006500000000		0.00017 (II	0.003259542		ppm	0.368	0.006934053	0.000828085	-0.003674511	
thyl tert butyl ethered			0.00002 (0			ppm				-75.35307256	
thylene chloride			0.000035 (ii	0.000074000		ppm		53.08230875		-53.08163567	
phthalene@			0.00029 (ii	0.00550005		ppm				-63.90904083	
enanthrene	50050000 TAXOXX	8 200 2000000000	0.000013 (R	0.000040050		ppm				-19.29378755	
enoi@			0.0000027 (8			1	500			10.20070733	1
ppionaldehyde@			0.000018 (II	0.0000000		ppm	0.368	7.082306748	0.641513292	-7.081999968	d
ene		1	0.00038 (It	0.007000005		1					1
rachioroethylene	7 : 00000000000000000000000000000000000	10000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.0000033 (8			Ī —			T	 	1
uene@	www.com.com.com.com.com.com.com.com.com.com	1	1.4 (ibs/10^12	0.0000014470	25	ppm	0.368	62.38691207	5.650989412	-62.38608759	d -
1-Trichloroethane			0.00002 (lb	0.000000000		ppm				-69.33237315	
тепе@		1	0.00002 (Ib	0.000383476	350	ppm				-702.8419887	
enes@			0.000025 (iii	0.000479344	20	ppm		31.35450307		-31.35402372	
yi acetate@			0.000037 (ib	0.00070943	100	ppm	0.368	159.7827403	14.4730743	-159.7820309	il
	7977		<u> </u>	0.000145721		ppm				-12.95736757	
al PCDD/PCDF			0.000002 (lbs/10^12			Ĺ	L.				t
				9.04268E-10							1 -
	is a sale to						Γ'''	l	i	1 '''	1-
rogen Chloride		299								 	1
rogen Fluoride		63	Occasion - Japanese Blacker, History	0.009981802						 	
uric Acid		0.50%	0.0846 (lb	0.056113641							1
			- U.J.O46 (ID	0.000180978		T		l			
7 3 3 - 1575 - 157					T				-	_	†
By ash fraction derivative	/9				į .	· · · · · ·				 	- -
By stack test		i		1					·	<u> </u>	+
y EPRI's Trace Report		L. '	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1						
y SoCo's Paper					1	1		———		 	1
lized HAP emission Inc	reases calculated o	er Utah R307-410	4.		1	T			 	 -	+
onvert ppm to ma/m3:	TLV(ppm) X MW//	24.45			1	1				 -	+
mpact (acute/chronic/c:	arcinogenic)		" " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " 		1	 	\vdash			 	+
= Emission Threshold	Factor (Table IV-2	R307-410-4. Bou	ndaries >100m)	1	1	 		<u> </u>		 	+
= Infeshold Limit Value	88 (ACGIH 2001 ve	reion)		1	t	· · · · ·				 	+
 Emission Threshold 	Value (IIb/hrt = IT)	VIX(ETFI)	**************************************	1	f	\vdash		-	—	 	
= Toxic Screening Levi	el/TIV/z\ i							-		 	
≂ Atomic molecular wei	ght of compound			·	1				h	 	
VOC			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-						

		SE PACK SO2	<u>, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>	12112	 		TACHMEN		
99-00 Ave	rage lbs/mmbt	u							
						<u> </u>			ļ
inlet		stack	% reduction	ļ	11411010000			 -	<u> </u>
WG(##)	0.7744		93.6209		U1/U2 '99-00 average			 -	
 	0.7744 0.7744	0.0474 0.0204		 	4% reduction stack lbs/m 97.3657% reduction (4%		hhar efficienc	<u>,</u>	-
+	0.7744	0.0204	91.3031		37.3007 No reduction (4%	moregae in acity	DOG! CHROICIE		
4000									-
1999 Unit One			The state of the s		Unit Two				<u> </u>
						0.770.500			
Coal Burne Heating Va		2,472,213 11,858			Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb	2,772,580 11,858			
iniet SO2 i		0.7963	 		Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu	0.7867			
	lbs/mmbtu	0.0479		 	Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu	0.0538			
Inlet Tons		23,343.93			Inlet Tons SO2	25,864.54			
Stack Tons	s SO2	1,404.21	46.50	EER	Stack Tons SO2	1,768.80	44.17 ER	EURIS	
	al (lbs/mmbtu)	93,9847			% Removal (lbs/mmbtu)	93,1613			
% Remova		93.9847			% Removal (tons)	93,1613		ļ	
% Remova	al (EDR tons)	93.2899	0.69	 	% Removal (EDR tons)	91.7578	1.40		_
2000									
Unit One				ļ <u></u>	Unit Two				
Coal Burne	ed (tons)	2,799,081	 	 	Coal Burned (tons)	2,484,709			
Heating Va		11,885			Heating Value btu/lb	11,885			
Inlet SO2 I		0.7712			Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu	0.7432			
	lbs/mmbtu	0.0482			Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu	0.0477			
inlet Tons	SO2	25,655.57			iniet Tons SO2	21,947.27			
Stack Tons	s SO2		###2-5Ab	(ED:D)	Stack Tons SO2			EU:	
	al (lbs/mmbtu)	93.7500			% Removal (lbs/mmbtu)	93.5818			
% Remova		93.7500			% Removal (tons)	93.5818			
% Remova	al (EDR tons)	92.7692	0.98	 	% Removal (EDR tons)	92.6223	0.96	·	_
1999-2000	Average Inte	rmountain Genera	ating Station	ļ					
% Remove	al (lbs/mmbtu)	93.6194		- -	Inlet lbs/mmbtu		ļ. <u> </u>		
% Remova		93.6194		 	Stack lbs/mmbtu	0.0494			_
	(EDR tons)	92.6098				<u></u>			
Dense Par	ck - Intermou	Intain Generating	Station						
PREMODI	FICATION	1999 - 2000 Avera		(d)	POST MODIFICATION (odification)		
Coal Burne	FICATION ed (tons)	1999 - 2000 Avera 5,268,249		d)	Coal Burned (tons)	5,578,473	odification)		
Coal Burne Heating Va	FICATION ed (tons) alue btu/lb	1999 - 2000 Avera 5,268,249 11,871		d)	Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb	5,578,473 11,871	odification)		
Coal Burne Heating Va Inlet SO2 I	FICATION ed (tons) alue btu/lb bs/mmbtu	1999 - 2000 Avera 5,268,249 11,871		d)	Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu	5,578,473 11,871	ochication)		
Coal Burne Heating Va Inlet SO2 II Stack SO2	FICATION ed (tons) alue btu/lb bs/mmbtu	1999 - 2000 Avera 5,268,249 11,871 3,744 0,0494			Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu	5,578,473 11,871 2744 0.0494		Actual Pes	0010-
Coal Burne Heating Va Inlet SO2 II Stack SO2 Inlet Tons	FICATION ed (tons) alue btu/lb bs/mmbtu ! lbs/mmbtu SO2	5,268,249 5,268,249 11,871 0,0494 48,430,50	54,170.45	Actual	Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2	5,578,473 11,871 1,074 0,0494 51,282,36	57403.69	Actual Proj	
Coal Burne Heating Va Inlet SO2 II Stack SO2 Inlet Tons Stack Tons	FICATION ed (tons) alue btu/lb bs/mmbtu ! lbs/mmbtu SO2	5,268,249 5,268,249 11,871 0,0494 48,430,50		Actual	Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu	5,578,473 11,871 1,074 0,0494 51,282,36			
Coal Burne Heating Va Inlet SO2 II Stack SO2 Inlet Tons Stack Tons	FICATION ed (tons) alue btu/lb bs/mmbtu ! lbs/mmbtu SO2 s SO2	1999 - 2000 Avere 5,268,249 11,871 44 0,0494 48,430,50 3,089,45	54,170.45 3586.25	Actual	Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 Stack Tons SO2	5,578,473 11,871 1,674 0,0494 51,282,36 3,271,37	57403.69		
Coal Burne Heating Va Inlet SO2 II Stack SO2 Inlet Tons Stack Tons	FICATION ed (tons) alue btu/lb bs/mmbtu ! lbs/mmbtu SO2 s SO2	1999 - 2000 Avere 5,268,249 11,871 1,444 0,0494 48,430,50 3,089,45 93,6209	54,170.45 358525 93.38	Actual	Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 Stack Tons SO2 % Removal (lbs/mmbtu) POST MODIFICATION (5,578,473 11,871 0,0494 51,282,36 3,271,37 93,6209	57403.69 57403.68 93.68		
Coal Burne Heating Va Inlet SO2 II Stack SO2 Inlet Tons Stack Tons	FICATION ed (tons) alue btu/lb bs/mmbtu ! lbs/mmbtu SO2 s SO2	1999 - 2000 Avera 5,268,249 11,871 1,871 0,0494 48,430,50 3,089,45 93,6209	54,170.45 358525 93.38	Actual	Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 Stack Tons SO2 % Removal (lbs/mmbtu) POST MODIFICATION (4 4% reduction stack lbs/mm	5,578,473 11,871 0.0494 51,282,36 3,271,37 93,6209	57403.69 57403.68 93.68		
Coal Burne Heating Va Inlet SO2 II Stack SO2 Inlet Tons Stack Tons	FICATION ed (tons) alue btu/lb bs/mmbtu ! lbs/mmbtu SO2 s SO2	1999 - 2000 Avere 5,268,249 11,871 0,0494 48,430,50 3,089,45 93,6209 Tons of SO2 Red 130,85	54,170,45 93,586,25 93,38	Actual (EDR)	Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 Stack Tons SO2 % Removal (lbs/mmbtu) POST MODIFICATION (\) 4% reduction stack lbs/m Coal Burned (tons)	5,578,473 11,871 0.0494 51,282,36 3,271,37 93,6209 W/Scrubber Mod mbtu 5,578,473	57403.69 57403.68 93.68		
Coal Burne Heating Va Inlet SO2 II Stack SO2 Inlet Tons Stack Tons	FICATION ed (tons) alue btu/lb bs/mmbtu ! lbs/mmbtu SO2 s SO2	1999 - 2000 Avera 5,268,249 11,871 1,871 0,0494 48,430,50 3,089,45 93,6209	54,170,45 93,586,25 93,38	Actual (EDR)	Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 Stack Tons SO2 % Removal (lbs/mmbtu) POST MODIFICATION (4% reduction stack lbs/m Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb	5,578,473 11,871 0,0494 51,282,36 3,271,37 93,6209 W/Scrubber Mod mbtu 5,578,473 11,871	57403.69 57403.68 93.68		
Coal Burne Heating Va Inlet SO2 II Stack SO2 Inlet Tons Stack Tons	FICATION ed (tons) alue btu/lb bs/mmbtu ! lbs/mmbtu SO2 s SO2	1999 - 2000 Avere 5,268,249 11,871 0,0494 48,430,50 3,089,45 93,6209 Tons of SO2 Red 130,85	54,170,45 93,586,25 93,38	Actual (EDR)	Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 Stack Tons SO2 % Removal (lbs/mmbtu) POST MODIFICATION (4% reduction stack lbs/m Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu	5,578,473 11,871 0,0494 51,282.36 3,271.37 93,6209 W/Scrubber Mod mbtu 5,578,473 11,871	57403.69 57403.68 93.68		
Coal Burne Heating Va Inlet SO2 II Stack SO2 Inlet Tons Stack Tons	FICATION ed (tons) alue btu/lb bs/mmbtu ! lbs/mmbtu SO2 s SO2	1999 - 2000 Avere 5,268,249 11,871 0,0494 48,430,50 3,089,45 93,6209 Tons of SO2 Red 130,85	54,170,45 93,586,25 93,38	Actual (EDR)	Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 Stack Tons SO2 % Removal (lbs/mmbtu) POST MODIFICATION (4% reduction stack lbs/m Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu	5,578,473 11,871 0.0494 51,282.36 3,271.37 93,6209 W/Scrubber Mod mbtu 5,578,473 11,871	57403.69 93.68 93.68	(EDR Proje	ected)
Coal Burne Heating Va Inlet SO2 II Stack SO2 Inlet Tons Stack Tons	FICATION ed (tons) alue btu/lb bs/mmbtu ! lbs/mmbtu SO2 s SO2	1999 - 2000 Avere 5,268,249 11,871 0,0494 48,430,50 3,089,45 93,6209 Tons of SO2 Red 130,85	54,170,45 93,586,25 93,38	Actual (EDR)	Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 Stack Tons SO2 % Removal (lbs/mmbtu) POST MODIFICATION (4% reduction stack lbs/m Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2	5,578,473 11,871 0.0494 51,282,36 3,271,37 93,6209 W/Scrubber Mod mbtu 5,578,473 11,871 0.047424 51,282,36	57403.69 93.68 ification)	(EDR Proje	ected)
Coal Burne Heating Va Inlet SO2 II Stack SO2 Inlet Tons Stack Tons	FICATION ed (tons) alue btu/lb bs/mmbtu ! lbs/mmbtu SO2 s SO2	1999 - 2000 Avere 5,268,249 11,871 0,0494 48,430,50 3,089,45 93,6209 Tons of SO2 Red 130,85	54,170,45 93,586,25 93,38	Actual (EDR)	Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 Stack Tons SO2 % Removal (lbs/mmbtu) POST MODIFICATION (4% reduction stack lbs/m Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 Stack Tons SO2	5,578,473 11,871 0.0494 51,282,36 3,271,37 93,6209 W/Scrubber Mod mbtu 5,578,473 11,871 0.047424 51,282,36	57403.69 93.68 93.68 ification)	(EDR Proje	ected)
Coal Burne Heating Va Inlet SO2 II Stack SO2 Inlet Tons Stack Tons	FICATION ed (tons) alue btu/lb bs/mmbtu ! lbs/mmbtu SO2 s SO2	1999 - 2000 Avere 5,268,249 11,871 0,0494 48,430,50 3,089,45 93,6209 Tons of SO2 Red 130,85	54,170,45 93,586,25 93,38	Actual (EDR)	Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 Stack Tons SO2 % Removal (lbs/mmbtu) POST MODIFICATION (4% reduction stack lbs/m Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2	5,578,473 11,871 0,0494 51,282,36 3,271,37 93,6209 W/Scrubber Mod mbtu 5,578,473 11,871 0,047424 51,282,36 3,140,51	57403.69 93.68 ification)	(EDR Proje	ected)
Coal Burne Heating Va Inlet SO2 II Stack SO2 Inlet Tons Stack Tons	FICATION ed (tons) alue btu/lb bs/mmbtu ! lbs/mmbtu SO2 s SO2	1999 - 2000 Avere 5,268,249 11,871 0,0494 48,430,50 3,089,45 93,6209 Tons of SO2 Red 130,85	54,170,45 93,586,25 93,38	Actual (EDR)	Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 Stack Tons SO2 % Removal (lbs/mmbtu) POST MODIFICATION (4% reduction stack lbs/m Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 Stack Tons SO2	5,578,473 11,871 0,0494 51,282,36 3,271,37 93,6209 W/Scrubber Mod mbtu 5,578,473 11,871 0,047424 51,282,36 3,140,51	57403.69 93.68 93.68 ification)	(EDR Proje	ected)
Coal Burne Heating Va Inlet SO2 II Stack SO2 Inlet Tons Stack Tons	FICATION ed (tons) alue btu/lb bs/mmbtu ! lbs/mmbtu SO2 s SO2	1999 - 2000 Avere 5,268,249 11,871 0,0494 48,430,50 3,089,45 93,6209 Tons of SO2 Red 130,85	54,170,45 93,586,25 93,38	Actual (EDR)	Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 Stack Tons SO2 % Removal (Ibs/mmbtu) POST MODIFICATION (4% reduction stack lbs/m Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 Stack Tons SO2 % Removal (Ibs/mmbtu)	5,578,473 11,871 0,0494 51,282,36 3,271,37 93,6209 W/Scrubber Mod mbtu 5,578,473 11,871 0,047424 51,282,36 3,140,51 93,8760	57403.69 93.68 93.68 ification) 57403.69 93.88	(EDR Proje	ected)
Coal Burne Heating Va Inlet SO2 II Stack SO2 Inlet Tons Stack Tons	FICATION ed (tons) alue btu/lb bs/mmbtu ! lbs/mmbtu SO2 s SO2	1999 - 2000 Avera 5,268,249 11,871 40,0494 48,430,50 3,089,45 93,6209 Tons of SO2 Red 130,85	54,170.45 93.38 93.38 uction (EDR Projec	Actual (EDR)	Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 Stack Tons SO2 % Removal (lbs/mmbtu) POST MODIFICATION (4% reduction stack lbs/m Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 % Removal (lbs/mmbtu) POST MODIFICATION (POST MODIFI	5,578,473 11,871 0,0494 51,282.36 3,271.37 93,6209 W/Scrubber Mod mbtu 5,578,473 11,871 0,047424 51,282.36 3,140.51 93,8760	57403.69 93.68 ification) 57403.69 93.88	Actual Proje	ected)
Coal Burne Heating Va Inlet SO2 II Stack SO2 Inlet Tons Stack Tons	FICATION ed (tons) alue btu/lb bs/mmbtu ! lbs/mmbtu SO2 s SO2	1999 - 2000 Avere 5,268,249 11,871 30,0494 48,430,50 3,089,45 93,6209 Tons of SO2 Red 130,85	54,170.45 93.38 93.38 uction (EDR Projec	Actual (EDR)	Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 Stack Tons SO2 % Removal (Ibs/mmbtu) POST MODIFICATION (4% reduction stack ibs/m Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet Tons SO2 % Removal (Ibs/mmbtu) Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 % Removal (Ibs/mmbtu) POST MODIFICATION (4%) POST MODIFICATION (4%)	5,578,473 11,871 51,282.36 3,271.37 93,6209 W/Scrubber Mod mbtu 5,578,473 11,871 0.047424 51,282.36 3,140.51 93,8760	57403.69 93.68 ification) 57403.69 93.88	Actual Proje	ected)
Coal Burne Heating Va Inlet SO2 II Stack SO2 Inlet Tons Stack Tons	FICATION ed (tons) alue btu/lb bs/mmbtu ! lbs/mmbtu SO2 s SO2	1999 - 2000 Avera 5,268,249 11,871 40,0494 48,430,50 3,089,45 93,6209 Tons of SO2 Red 130,85	54,170.45 93.38 93.38 uction (EDR Projec	Actual (EDR)	Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 Stack Tons SO2 % Removal (Ibs/mmbtu) POST MODIFICATION (4% reduction stack lbs/m Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 % Removal (Ibs/mmbtu) POST MODIFICATION (97.3657% reduction (4% Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb	5,578,473 11,871 0,0494 51,282,36 3,271,37 93,6209 W/Scrubber Mod mbtu 5,578,473 11,871 0,047424 51,282,36 3,140,51 93,8760	57403.69 93.68 ification) 57403.69 93.88	Actual Proje	ected)
Coal Burne Heating Va Inlet SO2 II Stack SO2 Inlet Tons Stack Tons	FICATION ed (tons) alue btu/lb bs/mmbtu ! lbs/mmbtu SO2 s SO2	1999 - 2000 Avere 5,268,249 11,871 1,871 1,00494 48,430,50 3,089,45 93,6209 130,85	54,170.45 93.38 93.38 uction (EDR Projec	Actual (EDR)	Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 Stack Tons SO2 % Removal (Ibs/mmbtu) POST MODIFICATION (4% reduction stack ibs/m Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 % Removal (ibs/mmbtu) FOST MODIFICATION (97.3657% reduction (4% Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet Tons SO2 % Removal (ibs/mmbtu) POST MODIFICATION (97.3657% reduction (4% Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu	5,578,473 11,871 11,871 11,871 11,871 11,871 11,871 11,871 11,871 11,871 11,871	57403.69 93.68 ification) 57403.69 93.88	Actual Proje	ected)
Coal Burne Heating Va Inlet SO2 II Stack SO2 Inlet Tons Stack Tons	FICATION ed (tons) alue btu/lb bs/mmbtu ! lbs/mmbtu SO2 s SO2	1999 - 2000 Avere 5,268,249 11,871 1,871 1,00494 48,430,50 3,089,45 93,6209 130,85	54,170.45 93.38 93.38 uction (EDR Projec	Actual (EDR)	Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 Stack Tons SO2 % Removal (Ibs/mmbtu) POST MODIFICATION (4% reduction stack lbs/m Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 % Removal (Ibs/mmbtu) POST MODIFICATION (97.3657% reduction (4% Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu POST MODIFICATION (97.3657% reduction (4% Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu	5,578,473 11,871 51,282.36 3,271.37 93,6209 W/Scrubber Mod mbtu 5,578,473 11,871 0,047424 51,282.36 3,140.51 93,8760 W/Scrubber Mod increase in scru 5,578,473 11,871	57403.69 93.68 ification) 57403.69 93.88	Actual Proje	ected)
Coal Burne Heating Va Inlet SO2 II Stack SO2 Inlet Tons Stack Tons	FICATION ed (tons) alue btu/lb bs/mmbtu ! lbs/mmbtu SO2 s SO2	1999 - 2000 Avere 5,268,249 11,871 1,871 1,00494 48,430,50 3,089,45 93,6209 130,85	54,170.45 93.38 93.38 uction (EDR Projec	Actual (EDR)	Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 Stack Tons SO2 % Removal (lbs/mmbtu) POST MODIFICATION (\(^4\)% reduction stack lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 % Removal (lbs/mmbtu) POST MODIFICATION (\(^4\)% Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 Stack Tons SO2 Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2	5,578,473 11,871 0,0494 51,282,36 3,271,37 93,6209 W/Scrubber Mod mbtu 5,578,473 11,871 0,047424 51,282,36 3,140,51 93,8760 W/Scrubber Mod increase in scru 5,578,473 11,871 0,0204 51,282,36	57403.69 93.68 ification) 57403.69 93.88 93.88	Actual Proje	ected)
Coal Burne Heating Va Inlet SO2 II Stack SO2 Inlet Tons Stack Tons	FICATION ed (tons) alue btu/lb bs/mmbtu ! lbs/mmbtu SO2 s SO2	1999 - 2000 Avere 5,268,249 11,871 1,871 1,00494 48,430,50 3,089,45 93,6209 130,85	54,170.45 93.38 93.38 uction (EDR Projec	Actual (EDR)	Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 Stack Tons SO2 % Removal (Ibs/mmbtu) POST MODIFICATION (4% reduction stack lbs/m Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 % Removal (Ibs/mmbtu) POST MODIFICATION (97.3657% reduction (4% Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu POST MODIFICATION (97.3657% reduction (4% Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu	5,578,473 11,871 0,0494 51,282,36 3,271,37 93,6209 W/Scrubber Mod mbtu 5,578,473 11,871 0,047424 51,282,36 3,140,51 93,8760 W/Scrubber Mod increase in scru 5,578,473 11,871 0,0204 51,282,36	57403.69 93.68 ification) 57403.69 93.88	Actual Proje	ected)
Coal Burne Heating Va Inlet SO2 II Stack SO2 Inlet Tons Stack Tons & Remova	FICATION ed (tons) alue btu/lb bs/mmbtu ! lbs/mmbtu SO2 s SO2	1999 - 2000 Avere 5,268,249 11,871 1,871 1,00494 48,430,50 3,089,45 93,6209 130,85	54,170.45 93.38 93.38 uction (EDR Projec	Actual (EDR)	Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 Stack Tons SO2 % Removal (lbs/mmbtu) POST MODIFICATION (4 4% reduction stack lbs/m Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 % Removal (lbs/mmbtu) POST MODIFICATION (4 97.3657% reduction (4% Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet Tons SO2 % Removal (lbs/mmbtu) POST MODIFICATION (4 97.3657% reduction (4% Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 Stack Tons SO2	5,578,473 11,871 0,0494 51,282,36 3,271,37 93,6209 W/Scrubber Mod mbtu 5,578,473 11,871 0,047424 51,282,36 3,140,51 93,8760 W/Scrubber Mod increase in scru 5,578,473 11,871	57403.69 93.68 ification) 57403.69 93.88 93.88	Actual Proje	ected)
Coal Burne Heating Va Inlet SO2 II Stack SO2 Inlet Tons Stack Tons % Remova	FICATION ed (tons) alue blu/lb bs/mmbtu l bs/mmbtu SO2 s SO2 s (bs/mmbtu)	1999 - 2000 Avera 5,268,249 11,871 20,0494 48,430,50 3,089,45 93,6209 Tons of SO2 Red 130,85	54,170.45 93.38 uction (EDR Projec	Actual (EDR)	Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 Stack Tons SO2 % Removal (lbs/mmbtu) POST MODIFICATION (4 4% reduction stack lbs/m Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Stack SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 % Removal (lbs/mmbtu) POST MODIFICATION (4 97.3657% reduction (4% Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet Tons SO2 % Removal (lbs/mmbtu) POST MODIFICATION (4 97.3657% reduction (4% Coal Burned (tons) Heating Value btu/lb Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet SO2 lbs/mmbtu Inlet Tons SO2 Stack Tons SO2	5,578,473 11,871 0,0494 51,282,36 3,271,37 93,6209 W/Scrubber Mod mblu 5,578,473 11,871 0,047424 51,282,36 3,140,51 93,8760 W/Scrubber Mod increase in scru 5,578,473 11,871 0,0204 51,282,36 1,350,93 97,3657	57403.69 93.68 ification) 57403.69 93.88 93.88	Actual Proje	ected)

ATTACHMENT 1: Worksheet E

CO Calculations

Dense Pack - Intermo	untain Generating Station	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	e gjaven er er ut er
PREMODIFICATION	1999 - 2000 Average	POST MODIFICATIO	
Coal Burned (tons)	5,268,249	Coal Burned (tons)	5,578,473
CO E.F. (lb/ton)	0.50	CO E.F. (lb/ton)	0.50
CO Emissons (tons)	1317.06	CO Emissons (tons)	1394.62

Tons of CO increase 77.56

AP-42 Table 1.1-3

ATTACHMENT 1: Worksheet F

DENSE PACK PM10 COAL USAGE CALCULATION SUMMARY

YEARLY INVENTORY

5,578,473	Tons coal received Railcar Unloading
5,578,473	Tons of coal fed to both Units
2,789,237	Tons of coal fed to Unit 1
2,789,237	Tons of coal fed to Unit 2
11,800	Coal heating value (Btu/lb)
25.1	Coal pile (acres)
	Unit 1 Particulate lbs/mmbtu (tsp)
0.0036 ⊬	Unit 2 Particulate lbs/mmbtu (tsp)

UNIT 1 FABRIC FILTER PARTICULATE EMISSION (online)

169.5677 TPY Particulate PM10

AP 42 Table 1.1-6

UNIT 2 FABRIC FILTER PARTICULATE EMISSION (online)

109.0078 TPY Particulate PM10

AP 42 Table 1.1-6

COAL TRAIN UNLOADING DUST COLLECTORS A,B,C,D

0.0625 TPY Particulate PM10

COAL TRUCK UNLOADING DUST COLLECTOR

0.0000 TPY Particulate PM10

Included in train unloading

COAL RESERVE RECLAIM DUST COLLECTOR

0.0020 TPY Particulate PM10

10% of Coal Crusher Emissions

COAL SAMPLE PREPARATION DUST COLLECTOR

0.0000 TPY Particulate PM10

COAL TRANSFER BUILDING #1 DUST COLLECTOR

0.0156 TPY Particulate PM10

COAL TRANSFER BUILDING #2 DUST COLLECTOR

0.0312 TPY Particulate PM10

COAL TRANSFER BUILDING #4 DUST COLLECTOR

0.0195 TPY Particulate PM10

COAL CRUSHER BUILDING DUST COLLECTOR

0.0195 TPY Particulate PM10

ACTIVE COAL STACKOUT (fugitive)

3.9049 TPY Particulate PM10

DUST COLLECTOR 13A & 13B

0.0312 TPY Particulate PM10

DUST COLLECTOR 14A & 14B

0.0156 TPY Particulate PM10

COAL PILE FUGITIVE EMISSIONS

0.8368 TPY Particulate PM10

283.5145 TPY PM10 (COAL ONLY)

COMMENTS

EF found in AP-42 Table 11.19.2-1 site dust collectors for coal, limestone, lime vacuum sys. and soda ash PM10 and PM2.5. Using same ratio of PM10 to PM2.5 found with emissions at stack.

Use cumulative Mass % <= Stated Size in AP-42 Table 1.1-5 for percentages of PM10 and PM2.5 as a ratio of TSP.

PM10 = 92% of TSP

PM2.5 = 53% of TSP

Printed: 4/3/2001 Page 1